

ISSN: 2582-4163



CRITICAL REVIEW ON POLITICAL COST AND FIRMS SIZE

MUHAMMED NISAR M

Published by

**KTM COLLEGE OF ADVANCED STUDIES
KARUVARAKUNDU, MALAPPURAM**

Affiliated to University of Calicut, Aided by the Govt. of Kerala and
Recognised by the U.G.C (Accredited by NAAC with A Grade)





INTERNATIONAL
STANDARD
SERIAL
NUMBER
INDIA

ISSN National Centre, India National Science Library

CSIR- National Institute of Science Communication and Policy Research
14, Satsang Vihar Marg, New Delhi - 110067

Certificate of Registration

पंजीयन प्रमाणपत्र

This is to certify that the periodical "KITLYST" has been registered in the ISSN Registry as per the details below:

प्रमाणित किया जाता है कि "केटीएलवायएसटी" पत्रिका को निम्न विवरण के अनुसार आई एस एस एन अभिलेख में पंजीकृत किया जा रहा है:

International Standard Serial Number (ISSN): 2582-4163

अंतर्राष्ट्रीय मानक क्रम संख्या (आई एस एस एन)

Language(s)/भाषा (यें)

: Multiple language/बहुभाषी

Periodicity/समयावधि

: Quarterly/त्रैमासिक

Format/प्रारूप

: Online/ऑनलाइन

Publisher/प्रकाशक

: KTM College of Advanced Studies,
Karuvarakundu (PO), Malappuram (Dt),
Kerala-676523

के टी एम कॉलेज ऑफ एडवांस्ड स्टडीज,
करुवाराकुंदु (पो. ओ.), मलप्पुरम (डिस्ट्रिक्ट),
केरला-६७६५२३

Sanjay Burde / संजय बुरडे

Head, National Science Library / प्रमुख, नेशनल साइंस लाइब्रेरी
& ISSN National Centre, India/एंड आई एस एस एन नेशनल सेंटर, इंडिया

KTLYST Editorial Board Members

Editor in chief

Prof. (Dr.) Muhammed Aslam NK

(Principal, KTM College of Advanced Studies, Karuvarakundu, Kerala, India)

aslamptrklm@gmail.com

Associate Editors

- **Dr. Shareefa Saif Al Yazeedi**
(Lecturer, Department of Arabic, University of Emirates, UAE)
s_alyazeedi@uaeu.ac.ae
- **Prof. Khaldun Saeed Subah**
(Dept. of Arabic, University of Damascus, Syria)
farhat1966@gmail.com
- **Dr. Mohammed Ajmal**
(Assistant Professor, Centre for Arabic and African Studies, J.N.U, New Delhi)
majmal@mail.jnu.ac.in
- **Mohammed Yaseen Bakriya**
(Journalist & Novelist, Palestine)
mbakriya@gmail.com

Editor in Charge

Dr. Muhammed Aslam. EK

(Assistant Professor, Dept. of Arabic, KTM College of Advanced Studies)

ekaslu@gmail.com

Editors

- **Prof. (Dr.) Rasheed Ahammed.P**
(Professor, Dept. of Arabic, KTM College of Advanced Studies)
rasheedahmedp@gmail.com
- **Mr. Ubaidu Rahiman.M**
(Assistant Professor, Dept. of English, KTM College of Advanced Studies)
rasheedahmedp@gmail.com
- **Mr. Muhammed Musthefa.K**
(Assistant Professor, Dept. of Arabic, KTM College of Advanced Studies)
musthafadac@gmail.com
- **Mrs. Saeeda.KT**
(Assistant Professor, Dept. of Arabic, KTM College of Advanced Studies)
saeedashan@gmail.com
- **Dr. Abdul Rasheed PC**
(Assistant Professor, Dept. of Commerce, KTM College of Advanced Studies)
rasheedpc786@gmail.com
- **Mr. Hassan Basari MM**
(Assistant Professor, Dept. of English, KTM College of Advanced Studies)
basarikvk@gmail.com
- **Mr. Aboobacker PU**
(Assistant Professor, KTM College of Advanced Studies)
aboobackerpu@gmail.com

Members of Review Board

- **Dr. Abdul Majeed. E**
(Associate Professor, University of Calicut)
dr.emajeed@gmail.com
- **Dr. Ali Noufal. K**
(Associate Professor, Department of Arabic, University of Calicut)
alinoufalk@uoc.ac.in,
alinoufaljnu@gmail.com
- **Dr. Sainuddeen P.T**
(Associate Professor, Department of Arabic, University of Calicut)
ptsainuddeen@gmail.com
- **Dr. Noushad V**
(Assistant Professor & HoD, of Arabic, University of Kerala.)
noushusasc@gmail.com
- **Prof. (Dr.) Abdul Raheem. MK**
(Professor, Department of Arabic, Govt. Arts and Science College, Calicut)
raheemkodesseeri@yahoo.co.in
- **Dr. Hameed. KA**
(Assistant Professor, SNGS College, Pattambi)
kmlhameed@gmail.com
- **Dr. Jafar Sadik PP**
(Associate Professor & Head of Department of Arabic, Thunchan Memorial Govt. College, Tirur)
ppjsadik@gmail.com
- **Mrs. Ummusalma. N**
(Assistant Professor, Govt. Arts and Science College, Mankada)
salmakkv@gmail.com
- **Lt. Dr. Basheer Poolakkal**
Assistant Professor, NMSM Govt, College Kalpetta & Associate NCC Officer 5 Kerala Battalion, NCC, Wayand
- **Dr. Abdul Razak. P**
(Assistant Professor, PTM Govt. College, Perintalmanna)
rasakedakkara@gmail.com
- **Dr. Hamzathali AP**
(Assistant Professor, MES Mampad College, Mampad)
ali.hamzath@gmail.com

Complete Address of Publisher/ Editorial Board Office

KTM College of Advanced Studies

Karuvarakundu (PO), Malappuram (Dt), Kerala-676 523



Phone

04931-280096



Mobile

+919747635369



Email

info@ktlyst.org
ktmcollegekvk@gmail.com



Website

www.ktmcollege.org

Terms of Publication in KTLYST

A Multilingual Research Journal in Literature and Culture

1. KTLYST accepts only original works that adhere to academic standards in content and methodology.
2. The journal welcomes articles, short stories, poems, interviews, and book reviews written in either Arabic or English.
3. Submissions must be unpublished and not under review elsewhere.
4. All submissions are subject to review by the Editorial Board.
5. Authors must ensure originality, depth of analysis, and compliance with academic conventions.
6. Manuscripts should be prepared in Microsoft Word, using Simplified Arabic (for Arabic texts) or Times New Roman (for English texts), with:
 - Font size 14 for the main text
 - Font size 16 for headings
 - Line spacing: 1.15
7. Use endnotes only; footnotes are not permitted.
8. Articles must include a reference list at the end following a consistent citation style.
9. Articles should not exceed 10 pages, including references and bibliography.
10. All submissions and related queries should be sent to: info@ktlyst.com

شروط النشر في مجلة "KTLYST"

مجلة بحثية متعددة اللغات في مجال الأدب والثقافة

١. لا تُقبل في مجلة KTLYST إلا الأعمال الأصلية التي تلتزم بالمعايير الأكاديمية من حيث المحتوى والمنهج.
٢. ترحب المجلة بالمقالات والقصص القصيرة والقصائد والمقابلات واستعراضات الكتب، باللغة العربية أو الإنجليزية.
٣. يشترط أن تكون الأعمال المقدمة غير منشورة سابقاً ولم تُرسل للنشر إلى جهة أخرى.
٤. تُعرض جميع المواد المقدمة على هيئة التحرير للمراجعة والتقييم.
٥. يجب أن يلتزم الكاتب بالأصالة والتحليل العميق والمنهجية الأكاديمية.
٦. تُكتب المواد باستخدام برنامج Word ، بخط Simplified Arabic للنصوص العربية، و Times New Roman للنصوص الإنجليزية، على النحو التالي:
 - حجم الخط 14 في المتن
 - حجم الخط 16 في العناوين
 - تباعد الأسطر 1.15 :
٧. يُمنع استخدام الحواشي السفلية (footnotes) ، ويُكتفى بالحواشي الختامية (endnotes).
٨. يجب أن تتضمن المقالات قائمة مراجع في نهاية النص وفق نمط توثيق موحد.
٩. لا يجوز أن يتجاوز عدد صفحات المقال عشر صفحات، بما في ذلك المراجع.
١٠. تُرسل جميع المشاركات والاستفسارات إلى العنوان التالي : info@ktlyst.com

CRITICAL REVIEW ON POLITICAL COST AND FIRMS SIZE

MUHAMMED NISAR M (Assistant Professor, KTM COLLEGE OF
ADVANCED STUDIES)

1.INTRODUCTION

In late of 1960th the studies on accounting theories were turn into positive approach than the previous normative one. Positive theories try to study `what is` than `what it should be`.it try predict how accountants react in different situations. Due to the works of Watts and Zimmerman it has become into a separate school of thought in accounting researches. It is more concerned with the contractual set up of firms. A firm is considered as a nexus of different contractual relations. Accounting is considered as a tool to maintain such contracts

Positive accounting mainly has two different perspectives efficiency perspective and opportunistic perspective. Through the Efficiency view of positive accounting theory researchers try to find what accounting procedures and methods are used by different managers to show true and actual performance of the firm.

Opportunistic view stipulates there is potential conflict of interest between managers and shareholders, shareholders design management compensation contracts in order to constrain management to act in their best interest. Theoretically, management compensation contracts are viewed as devices to reduce the conflict of interest between managers and shareholders and thereby, maximise a firm's value. However, these compensation contracts may induce earnings management simply because managers' compensation is either based on accounting earnings or stock prices. There is a possibility that rewarding managers on the basis of reported earnings or stock performance may induce them to manipulate earnings figures to improve their apparent performance and, ultimately, their related compensations.

This opportunism is mainly supported by Bonus plan hypothesis, Debt covenant hypothesis and Political cost hypothesis. Bonus plan hypothesis states that bonus and accounting earnings are piecewise linear functions with lower and upper bounds defined in the funding formula for use in bonus computations. Healy (1985). if manager`s remuneration depends on performance, they may adopt accounting procedures which shows higher earnings to increase his remuneration. Same way Debt covenants assumes that if firm is more depend upon debt, the managers may tend to adopt income increasing discretionary accruals to show higher liquidity and higher earnings.

On the flip side of the same coin Political cost hypothesis states that managers may tend to adopt more income decreasing discretionary accruals to avoid concentration of its political environment. If firms show real profit and wealth then it may incur political costs like tax and other levies to government, contribution to political parties etc.

This paper focus on political cost hypothesis. Many researches have been interested to test the relationship between political cost and firm`s size. The fundamental problem they face is the choice of proxy variables to measure firms` size. There are many variables available to determine firms` size like volume of asset, annual sales, number of employees etc. Through the analysis of previous studies this paper is intended to test whether There is any meaningful relationship between political cost and size of the firm

2.ANALYSIS OF PREVIOUS STUDIES

Watts and Zimmerman conducted the first research on political cost in 1987. And they found that there is a positive correlation between size of the firm and political cost. They were stated that the political cost for large firms is higher than the small firms. They conducted several experiments to test political cost. They tested relationship between firm`s size and social disclosure in some cases. They were taken tax, insurance, gratuitous helps, sports help, customs and other levies as political cost. And they concluded that manger will adopt accounting polies and procedures which disclose lower income to avoid political cost

Belkouei and karpics conducted research in 1989. He taken 23 American companies. They stated that not only firms' size but also the industry that firm belongs affect the political influence.in 23 companies out of 7 companies were large oil companies. according to them the size of the firm and industry can show the correlation between them and political cost.

They understood that in some special industries like oil and gas, chemical industries the influence of politics is high irrespective of individual firm's earnings and profit. Large companies are more politically sensitive. The tax rate for large companies is high than small companies. Tax constitutes political cost. And finally, they also concluded that there is relationship between firm's size and political cost. large companies will incur high political cost than small.

Leftwich and holthausen also conducted research in this field in 1983. They tested the relation between firm's size and the choice of accounting methods. The research was based on Zimmermann's research. They also came into the conclusion that the size of the firm correlated with political cost. Thereby there is correlation with selection of accounting policies also.

Firouzi have conducted research in 1998. He tested the political cost hypothesis by testing the relation between size of the firm and sales volume then, volume of asset and firm's size. The study was das on the past data for the past 3 years. The results were shown that there is good correlation of political cost based on sales volume, however there is no significant correlation on the basis of asset and political cost. But the average correlation for the past three years on the basis of both asset and sales were shown a positive correlation.

Godfrey and Jones also conducted another research on political cost in 1999. But he used market shares to measure the effect of political cost. He taken market shares of firms and profit as variables. The research report shows that companies having more government investments or government managed companies have higher political cost. Another finding is that companies engaged in business of banking, finance, research fundamentals, urban service etc. also incurs more political costs

In 1991 Deeganand Hallam conducted research. The research was based on market shares of the firm. The research found that the companies having more market shares in the industry will have more political cost. They calculated market shares on the basis of total asset.

In 1998 Darough and his associates conducted a study and published a report. The study also tried to build a relationship between size of the firm and political cost. But instead of taking asset or sales volume to measure the firm's size he used the number of employees working in in the firm. they tested the relation between these two and found that the political influence for firms having a greater number of staff is higher than the firms having a smaller number of employees.so finally the research was concluded that there is correlation between firm's size and political cost.

Recently in 2009 Reza Tehrani and his associates published a paper. The conducted research by taking data for companies in Iran for 2005 and 2007. They were taken companies size as independent variable and political cost as dependent variable. according to them political cost includes tax, insurance rights, help to sports, help to educational area development, cost on establishing seminars and conferences, protection of bioenvironmental costs, export and customs levies etc. to measure the firms size they combined two variables volume of sales and total assets. they found that the there is significant relationship between firm's size and political cost. As the size of firm increases its political cost also increase and If firms size is less then, the political cost also decreases. There is positive correlation between firm's size and political cost

3. CONCLUSION

The previous studies unanimously agree that there is meaningful relationship between firm's size and political cost. bigger the firm more the political cost and vice versa. This political sensitivity can be seen as a root course of earning management. But the proxy choice to measure the firm size questions the generalisability of current literature. Determination of firm's size is mainly depending on the nature of business and industry. Different scholars have different proxy to measure the size like volume of asset, annual sales, number of employees. This proxy identification issue is not properly addressed.to have more generalisable and concrete outcomes, the researchers in this line have to consider resolve this proxy choice issue.

Political cost can be divided into two types, controllable and uncontrollable. The first is avoidable, which includes celebration expenses, election cost etc, but the latter is unavoidable, which includes tax and license fee etc.

4. REFERENCES

Healy, P., (1985), “The Impact of Bonus Schemes on the Selection of Accounting Principles”, *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, Vol. 7, April, pp. 85-107.

Watts. R.L. & Zimmerman. J.L., (1978), “Towards a Positive Theory of the Determination of Accounting Standards”, *The Accounting Review*, Vol. 53, No 1, pp. 112-134.

Watts. R.L. & Zimmerman. J.L., (1979), “The Demand for and Supply of Accounting Theories: The Market for Excuses”, *The Accounting Review*, Vol. 54, No 2, pp. 273-305.

Watts. R.L. & Zimmerman. J.L., (1986), *Positive Accounting Theory*, Prentice-Hall, London.

Adams, C.A., W.Y. Hill and C.B. Roberts (1995), *Environmental, Employee and Ethical Reporting in Europe* (London: ACCA).

Adams, C.A., W.Y. Hill and C.B. Roberts (1998), “Corporate Social Reporting Practices in Western Europe:

Legitimizing Corporate Behaviour?” *British Accounting Review*, Vol. 30, No. 1, pp. 1-21.

Alchian, A.A., and Kessel, R., (1962), “Competition, Monopoly and the Pursuit of Money”, in *Aspects of*

Labor Economics, pp. 157-175. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press, National Bureau for Economic Research.

Ball, R.J., and Foster, G., (1982), “Corporate Financial Reporting: A Methodological Review of Empirical

Research”, *Studies on Current Research Methodologies in Accounting: A Critical Evaluation*, Supplement to Vol. 20, *Journal of Accounting Research*, pp. 161-234.

Jensen, M., & Meckling, W. (1976). Theory of the firm: Managerial behaviour, agency costs and ownership structure. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 3, 305–360.

Belkaoui, A. & Karpik, P.G., (1989) “Determinants of the Corporate Decision to Disclose Social Information”, *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, Vol. 2, No. 1, pp.36-51.

Beresford, D.R., (1974), “How Companies are Reporting Social Performance”, *Management Accounting (NAA)*, August, pp. 41-44.

Blacconiere, W.G., and Patten, D.M., (1994), “Environmental Disclosures, Regulatory Costs, and Changes in Firm Value”, *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, Vol. 18, pp. 357-377.

Blair, J.M., (1976), *The Control of Oil*, Pantheon Books: New York.
